

Download Cass Williams V. State Texas

Williams v. State, 58 S.W.3d 137 , 138 (Tex.Crim.App. 2001). *209 Upon remand, we sent a letter to appellant's counsel informing counsel that we may not have jurisdiction over the appeal and directing him to submit a letter brief explaining a basis for continuation of the appeal, notwithstanding the jurisdictional limitations of rules 25.2(b)(3) and 26.2(a), article 42.12, section 5(b), and Manuel v.State, Tex.Cr.App., 411 S.W.2d 548, and other cases. Counsel asks the court to overrule these cases. The purpose of the rule is to test the knowledge of the witness concerning the reputation of an accused. William Wesley WILLIAMS, Jr., Independent Executor, et al., Petitioners, v. Mildred Disch WILLIAMS, Respondent. No. B-6738. Supreme Court of Texas. May 31, 1978. Rehearing Denied July 19, 1978. *868 Hooper, Robinson & Moeller, Karl H. Moeller and Malcolm Robinson, Austin, for petitioners. Charles G. Trenckmann, Austin, for respondent. McGEE, Justice. Curtis Leo Williams, proceeding pro se, appeals his conviction for possession of more than four grams but less than 200 grams of a controlled substance (cocaine), a second-degree felony. - Cass Williams V. State Texas